5090 Feb. 5090 Feb. CLD89149 A. 20 Jun 89

#### MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

subj: TRICHLOROETHYLENE

Encl: (1) U.S. EPA ltr of 12 Jun 89 w/ encl

1. Trichloroethylene (TCE), a synthetic chemical widely used for metal degreasing, has been classified by EPA in Group B: Probable Human Carcinogen. Given the nature of our operations and past practices, it is now located in the groundwater below and near many of our installations. The enclosure, giving some insights into this chemical, is provided for your information.

P. A. WILBUR

Special Assistant for Land Use & Environmental Law, Counsel for the Commandant

Distribution: HQMC (JAR)

HOMC (LFL)

Counsel, COMCABWEST

\_Counsel, WACO

Counsel, Southeastern Bases

Counsel, MCCDC SJA, Camp Lejeune

SJA, MCAS Cherry Point

SrJA, MCAS, Beaufort

CLW



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

1 2 1989

CFFICE OF WATER

Ms. Debra Eldredge Captain Lactiner Headquarters Marine Corps Code CL Washington, J.C. 2008C-0001

Dear Captain Lattimer:

In response to your request, the following information is enclosed:

Health Advisory - Trichloroethylene

The Office of Drinking Water Health Advisory Program is a continuing effort. The Advisories are updated as new information becomes available. In addition, Advisories on additional substances are also being prepared. Availability of the Health Advisories are announced in the Federal Register.

If you have any questions or require further details, please contact the Safe Drinking Water Hotline 1-800-426-4791.

sinceraly,

Jernifer Orme

Health Advisory Program Coordinator Office of Drinking Water (WH-550D)

Enclosure

This Health Advisory is based upon information presented in the Office of Drinking Water's Health Effects Criteria Document (CD) for Trichloroethylane (U.S. EPR, 1985a). The HA and CD formats are similar for easy reference. Individuals desiring further information on the toxicological data base or rationals for risk characterization should consult the CD. The CD is available for review at each BPA Regional Office of Drinking Water counterpart (e.g., Water Supply Branch or Drinking Water Branch), or for a fee from the Mational Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield. VA 22161, PB # 86-118106/AS. The toll free number is (800) 336-4700; in Washington, D.C. area: (703) 487-4650.

# II. GENERAL INFORMATION AND PROPERTIES

79-01-6 CAS No.

Structural Formula

C1-HC=C-Cl2

Trichloroethylene

#### Synonyma

TCE, trichloroethene, acetylene trichloride, Tri, Trilene

#### Uses

Industrial solvent and degreaser for metal components

Properties (Torkelson and Rowe, 1981; Windholtz, 1983)

C2HCL3 Chemical Formula 131.40 Holecular Weight Colorless liquid Physical State 86.7°C Boiling Point 77 mm (25°C) Vapor Pressure 1.4 g/mL Density at 25°C 0.1 g/100 mt (20°C) Water Solubility 0.5 mg/L Odor Threshold (water) 2.5-900 mg/m3 Odor Threshold (air) 0.31 mg/L (Amoore and Hautala, 1983) Organoleptic Threshold (water) 1 ppg =  $5.45 \text{ kg/m}^3$ Conversion Factor

# CLW

0000001833

## Occurrence

- \* Trichlorosthylens (TCE) is a synthetic chemical with no natural egurces.
- Production of TCE was 200 million lbs in 1982 (U.S. ITC, 1993).
- The major source of TCE released to the environment is from its use as a metal degreaser. Since TCE is not consumed during this use, the majority of all TCE production is released to the environment. Most

#### TRICHLOROSTHYLENE

# Realth Advisory Office of Drinking Water U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Health Advisory (HA) Program, sponsored by the Office of Drinking Water (ODW), provides information on the health effects, analytical method-ology and treatment technology that would be useful in dealing with the contamination of drinking water. Health Advisories describe nonregulatory concentrations of drinking water contaminants at which adverse health effects would not be anticipated to occur over specific exposure durations. Health Advisories contain a margin of safety to protect sensitive members of the population.

Health Advisories serve as informal technical quidance to assist Federal, State and local officials responsible for protecting public health when emergency spills or contamination situations occur. They are not to be construed as legally enforceable Federal standards. The HAS are subject to change as new information becomes available.

Health Advisories are developed for One-day, Ten-day, Longer-term (approximately 7 years, or 10% of an individual's lifetime) and Lifetime exposures based on data describing noncarcinogenic and points of toxicity. Health Advisories do not quantitatively incorporate any potential carcinogenic risk from such exposure. For those substances that are known or probable human carcinogens, according to the Agency classification scheme (Group A or B), Lifetime HAs are not recommended. The chemical concentration values for Group A or B carcinogens are correlated with carcinogenic risk estimates by employing a cancer potency (unit risk) value together with assumptions for lifetime exposure and the consumption of drinking water. The cancer unit risk is usually derived from the linear multistage model with 95% upper confidence limits. This provides a low-dose estimate of cancer risk to humans that is considered unlikely to pose a carcinogenic risk in excess of the stated values. Excess cancer risk estimates may also be calculated using the One-hit, Weibull, Logit or Probit models. There is no current understanding of the biological mechanisms involved in cancer to suggest that any one of these models is able to predict risk more accurately than anothers Because each model is based on differing assumptions, the estimates that are derived can differ by several orders of magnitude.

of the releases occur to the atmosphere by evaporation. However, TUE which is not lost to evaporation becomes heavily contaminated with grease and oil and has been disposed of by burial in landfills, dumping on the ground or into sewers. Because metal working operations are performed nationwide, TCE releases occur in all industrialized areas. Releases of TCE during production and other uses are relatively minor.

- Trichloroethylene released to the air is degraded in a matter of a few days. Trichlorosthylene released to surface waters migrates to the atmosphere in a few days or weeks where it also degrades. Photooxidation appears to be the predominant fate of this compound (U.S. EPA, 1973]. Trichloroethylene which is released to the land does not degrade rapidly, migrates readily to ground water and remains in ground water for months to years. Under certain conditions, TCB in groundwater appears to degrade to dichlorosthylene and vinyl chloride. Trichloroethylene also may be formed in ground water by the degradation of tetrachloroethylane (Parsons at al., 1984; Vogel and McCarty, 1985). Trichloroethylene, unlike other chlorinated compounds, does not bioaccumulate in individual animals or food chains-
- Because of the large and dispersed releases, TCE occurs widely in the environment. Trichloroethylane is ubiquitous in the sir with levels in the ppt to ppb range. Trichloroethylene is a common contaminant in ground and surface waters with higher levels found in ground water. Surveys of drinking water supplies have found that 3% of all public systems derived from well water contain TCE at levels of 0.5 ug/L or higher. A small number of systems (0.04%) have levels higher than 100 ug/L. Public systems derived from surface water also have been found to contain TCE but at lower levels. Trichlorouthylene has been reported to occur in some foods in the ppn range.
- The major sources of exposure to TCE are from contaminated water and to a lesser extent air; food is only a minor source of TCE exposure (U.S. EPA, 1983).

#### III. PHARMACOKINETICS

# Absorption

\* Data on absorption of inquested TCE are limited. When a dose of 200 mg/kg of 14C-TCB in corn oil was administered to rate, 97% of the dose was recovered during 72 hours after dosing (DeKant at al., 1984).

# Distribution

Doses of 0, 10, 100 or 1,000 mg TCE/kg/day were administered by gavage to rate five days/week for six weeks (Zenick et al., 1984). Marginal increases in TCE tissue levels were detected in the 10 pg/kg/day and 100 mg/kg/day dose groups. Compared to controls, a marked increase in TCE levels in most tissues was observed in the highest dose group. Trichloroethylene was distributed in all tissues examined with the highest concentrations in the fat, kidney, lung, adrenals, vas deferens, epididymis, brain and liver.

CLW

#### Metabolism

. Studies indicate that TCS is metabolized to trichlorosthylene oxide, trichloracetaldehyde, trichloroacetic acid, monochloroacetic acid, trichlorosthanol and trichlorosthanol glucuronide (U.S. EPA, 1985a).

#### Excretion

\* Trichloroethylene and its metabolites are excreted in urine, by exhalation and, to a lesser degree, in sweat, feces and saliva (Soucek and Vischova, 1959).

#### IV. HEALTH EFFECTS

#### Humans

#### Short-term Exposure

o Oral exposure of humans to 15 to 25 ml (21 to 35 g) quantities of TCE resulted in vomiting and abdominal pain, followed by transient unconsciousness (Staphans, 1945).

# Long-term Exposure

\* Studies of humans exposed occupationally have shown an increase in serum transaminases, which indicates damage to the liver parenchyma (Lachnit, 1971). Quantitative exposure levels were not available.

## Animals

# Short-term Exposure

. The acute oral LD50 of TCB in rate is 4.92 g/kg (NIOSH. 1980).

# Long-term Exposure

Rats exposed to 300 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (S5 ppm) TCE five days/week for 14 weeks had elevated liver weights (Kimmerle and Eben, 1973).

# Reproductive Effects

. No data were available on the reproductive effects of TCE.

# Developmental Effects

" No data were available on the developmental effects of ICE.

# Mutagenicity

 Trichloroethylene was mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium and in the B. coli K-12 strain, utilizing liver microscaes for activation (Greim at al., 1975, 1977).

CLW

#### Carcinogenicity

- \* Technical TCE (containing epichlorohydrin and other compounds) was found to induce a hepatocellular carcinogenic response in BSC3F; mice (NCI, 1976). Under the conditions of this experiment, a carcinogenic response was not observed in Osborne-Mendel rats. The "time-weighted" average doses were 549 and 1,097 mg/kg for both male and female rats. The time-weighted average daily doses were 1,169 and 2,339 mg/kg for male mice and 869 and 1,739 mg/kg for female nice.
- Epichlorohydrin-free TCE was reported to be carcinogenic in B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice when administered in corn oil at 1,000 mg/kg/day, 5 days/wk, for 103 weeks (NTF, 1982). It was not found to be carcinogenic in female Fischer 344 rats when administered in corn oil at 500 or 1,000 mg/kg/day, 5 days/wk, for 103 weeks. The experiment with male rats was considered to be inadequate since these rats received doses of TCE that exceeded the maximum tolerated dose.
- \* TCE has been shown to be carcinogenic in nice utilizing the inhalation as well as the oral route of exposure. The National Cancer Institute (1976) and the National Toxicology Program (1982) each conducted an oral gavage study with TCE, one contaminated with epichlorohydrin and the other free of epichlorohydrin, respectively. In these studies, as described above, B6C3F; mice were used, and the results were unequivocally positive, showing liver neoplasms.
- In an inhalation study, Henschler et al. (1980) reported dose-related malignant lymphomas in female mice exposed to 100 or 500 ppu TCE vapor 6 hrs/day, 5 days/wk, for 18 months (HAN: MHRI strain). However, the authors downplayed the significance of this observation, indicating that this strain of mice has a high incidence of spontageous lymphomas.
- Fukuda et al. (1983) found pulmonary adenocarcinomas in female ICR mice on exposure to TCE vapor.
- \* Henschler et al. (1984) tested Swiss (ICR/HA) nice and reported that when the animals were treated by gavage with TCE in corn oil, no statistical differences were observed in the incidence of cancers. The results of this study can be questioned because the dose schedule was often interrupted even with half of the original dose. Therefore, it is very difficult to assess the exposure. A slight increase in tumors was found in all groups treated with TCE but did not approach statistical significance.
- The Van Duuren study (1979) with skin applications of TCE in ICR/HA mice does not negate the positive findings with other strains of mice and other routes of exposure.

CLW

0000001837

# V. QUANTIFICATION OF TOXICOLOGICAL EFFECTS

Health Advisories (HAs) are generally determined for One-day, Ten-day, Longer-term (approximately 7 years) and Lifetime exposures if adequate data

U

are available that identify a sensitive noncarcinogenic end point of toxicity. The HAs for noncarcinogenic toxicants are derived using the following formula:

$$HA = \frac{(NOAEL \text{ or LOAEL}) \times (SW)}{(UP) \times (L/day)} = \frac{mg/L}{L}$$

where

NOAEL or LOAEL = No- or Lowest-Observed-Adverse-Effect-Level in mg/kg bw/day.

BW = assumed body weight of a child (10 kg) or an adult (70 kg).

UF = uncertainty factor (10, 100 or 1,000), in
accordance with NAS/ODW guidelines.

L/day = assumed daily water consumption of a child (1 L/day) or an adult (2 L/day).

#### One-day and Ten-day Bealth Advisory

Suitable data were not available to estimate One-day and Ten-day Health Advisories.

### Longer-term Realth Advisory

No suitable data are available from which to calculate a Longer-term Health Advisory.

#### Lifetine Health Advisory

The Lifetime HA represents that portion of an individual's total exposure that is attributed to drinking water and is considered protective of noscarcinogenic adverse health effects over a lifetime exposure. The Lifetime EA is derived in a three step process. Step 1 determines the Reference Duse (RfD), formerly called the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI). The RfD is an estimate of a daily exposure to the human population that is likely to be without appraciable risk of deleterious effects over a lifetime, and is derived from the NOREL (or LOAEL), identified from a obrenic (or subchronic) study, divided by an uncertainty factor(s). From the RfD, a Drinking Water Equivalent Level (DWEL) can be determined (Step 2). A DWEL is a medium-specific (i.e., drinking water) lifetime exposure level, assuming 100% exposure from that medium, at which adverse, noncarcinogenic health effects would not be expected to occur-The DNEE is derived from the multiplication of the RED by the assumed body weight of an adult and divided by the assumed daily water consumption of an adult. The Lifetime HA is determined in Step 3 by factoring in other sources of exposure, the relative source contribution (RSC). The RSC from drinking water is based on actual exposure data or, if data are not available, a value of 20% is assumed for synthetic organic chemicals and a value of 10% is assumed for inorganic chemicals. If the contaminant is classified as a Group A or B carcinogen, according to the Agency's classification scheme of carcinoganic potential (U.S. EPA, 1986), then caution should be exercised in assessing the risks associated with lifetime exposure to this chemical.

Trichloroethylene may be classified in Group 5: Probable Hugan Carcinogen, according to EFA's weight-of-evidence scheme for the classification of carcinogenic potential (U.S. EFA, 1986). Because of this, caution must be exercised in making a decision on how to deal with possible lifetime exposure to this substance. The risk manager must balance this assessment of carcinogenic potential against the likelihood of occurrence of health effects related to non-carcinogenic end-points of toxicity. In order to assist the risk manager in this process, drinking water concentrations associated with estimated excess lifetime cancer risks over the range of one in ten thousand to one in a million for the 70 kg adult, drinking 2 liters of water per day, are provided in the following section. In addition, in this section, a Drinking Water Equivalent Level (DWEL) is derived. A DWEL is defined as the mediumspecific (in this case, drinking water) exposure which is interpreted to be protective for non-cardinogenic end-points of toxicity over a lifetime of exposure. The DWEL is determined for the 70 kg sixit, ingesting 2 liters of water per day. Also provided is an estimate of the excess cancer risk that would result if exposure were to occur at the DMEL over a lifetime.

Neither the risk estimates nor the DWEL take relative source contribution into account. The risk manager should do this on a case-by-case basis, considering the circumstances of the specific contamination incident that has occurred.

The study by Kimmerle and Eben (1973) is the nost appropriate from which to derive the DWEL. This study evaluated the subscute exposure to tricklorosthylene via inhalation by adult rats for some 14 weeks following exposure to 55 pps (300 mg/m³), five days a week. Indices of toxicity include hematological investigation, liver and renal function tests, blood glucose and organ/body weight ratios. Liver weights were shown to be elevated while other test values were not different from controls. The elevated liver weights could be interpreted to be the result of hydropic changes or fatty accumulation. The no-observed-effect level was not identified since only a single concentration was administered. From these results, a LOAEL of 55 pps (300 mg/m³) was identified. Using the LOAEL, the DWEL is derived as follows:

Step 1: Cetermination of the Total Absorbed Dose (TAD)

TAD = 
$$\frac{(300 \text{ mg/m}^3) (8 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}) (5/7) (0.3)}{(70 \text{ kg})} = 7.35 \text{ mg/kg/day}$$

where:

300 mg/m3 = LOAEL for liver effects in rats

 $6 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$  = Volume of air inhaled during the exposure period

5/7 = Conversion factor for adjusting from 5 days/week exposure to a daily dose

0.3 = Ratio of the dose absorbed.

70 kg = Assumed weight of adult.

Step 2: Determination of the Reference Dose (RED)

RED = 
$$\frac{7.35 \text{ mg/kg/day}}{(100)} = 0.00735 \text{ mg/kg/day}$$

wheres

7.35 mg/kg/day = TAD.

1,000 = uncertainty factor, chosen in accordance with NAS/OFW midelines for use with a LOABL from an animal study.

Step 3: Determination of the Drinking Water Equivalent Level (DWEL)

$$DWEL = \frac{(3.00735 \text{ ng/kg/day})(70 \text{ kg})}{2 \text{ L/day}} = 0.26 \text{ ng/L} (260 \text{ ug/L})$$

wherea

0.00735 mg/kg/day = RfD.

70 kg = assumed body weight of an adult.

2 L/day \* assumed daily water consumptiion of an adult.

The estimated excess cancer risk associated with lifetime exposure to drinking water containing TCE at 260 ug/L is approximately 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup>. This estimate represents the upper 95% confidence limit from extrapolations prepared by EPA's Carcinogen Assessment Group using the linearized, multistage model. The actual risk is unlikely to exceed this value, but there is considerable uncertainty as to the accuracy of risks calculated by this methodology.

# Evaluation of Carcinogenic Potential

- \* IARC (1982) has classified TCE in Group 3.
- \* Trichloroethylane has been classified in Group B2: Probable Human Carcinogen. This classification for carcinogenicity was determined by a technical panel of EPA's Risk Assessment Forum using the EPA risk assessment guidelines for carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 1986). This category is used for agents for which there is "sufficient evidence" for human carcinogenicity from animal studies and for which there is "inadequate evidence" or "no data" from human studies.
- Using the improved multistage linearized model, it can be estimated that water with TCE concentrations of 280 ug/L, 28 ug/L or 2.8 ug/L may increase the risk of one excess cancer per 104, 105 or 106 people exposed, respectively. These estimates were calculated from the 1976 NCI bioassay data, which utilized TCE contaminated with epichlorchydrin. Since then, an NTP (1982) bioassay utilizing epichlorchydrin-free TCE has become available; the data from this bioassay have been reviewed and evaluated for carcinogenicity, and epichlorchydrin-free TCE has been reported to be carcinogenic in mice.

CLW

# VI. OTHER CRITERIA, GUIDANCE AND STANDARDS

- \* ACGIH (1984) has recommended a threshold limit value (TLY) of 50 ppm ( $\sim 270 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ) and a short-term exposure limit (STEL) of 150 ppm ( $\sim 805 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .
- The NAS (1980) recommended One- and Seven-day SNARLS of 105 and 15 mg/L, respectively.
- The WBO (1981) recommended a drinking water guidance level of 30 ug/L based on a carcinogenic and point.
- The EPA (U.S. EPA, 1980) recommended a water quality criterion of 6.77 mg/L for effects other than cancer.
- The EPA (U.S. BPA, 1985d) has promulgated a Recommended Maximum Contaminant Level (RMCL) of zero based upon its classification as a known or probable human carcinogen and has proposed a Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 0.005 ng/L based on its RMCL and appropriate feasibility studies.

#### VII. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Analysis of TCE is by a purge-and-trap gas chromatographic procedure used for the determination of volatile organohalides in drinking water (U.S. EFA, 1985b). This method calls for the bubbling of an inert gas through the sample and trapping TCE on an adsorbant material. The adsorbant material is heated to drive off the TCE onto a gas chromatographic column. This method is applicable to the measurement of TCE over a concentration range of 0.01 to 1500 ug/L. Confirmatory analysis for TCE is by mass spectrometry (U.S. EFA, 1985c). The detection limit for confirmation by mass spectrometry is 0.2 ug/L.

# VIII. TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES

- \* Treatment technologies which will remove TCE from water include granular activated carbon (GAC) adsorption, aeration and boiling.
- Dobbs and Cohen (1980) developed adsorption isotherms for several organic chemicals including TCE. It was reported that Pibrasorb® 100 carbon exhibited adsorptive capacities of 7 mg, 1.5 mg and 0.4 mg TCE/gm carbon at equilibrium concentrations of 100, 10 and 1 mg/L, respectively. USEPR-DNRD installed pilot-scale adsorption columns at different sites in New England and Pannsylvania. In New England, contaminated well water with TCE concentrations ranging from 0.4 to 177 mg/L was passed through GAC columns until a breakthrough concentration of 0.1 mg/L was achieved with empty bed contact time (EECT) of 18 and 9 minutes, respectively (Love and Eilers, 1982). In Pennsylvania, TCE concentrations ranging from 20 to 130 mg/L were reduced to 4.5 mg/L by GAC after 2 months of continuous operation (ESE, 1985).

CLW

- \* TOE is amenable to seration on the basis of its Henry's Law Constant of 550 atm (Kavanaugh and Trussell, 1980). In a full plant-scale (3.78 MGD) redwood slat tray aeration column, a removal efficiency of 50-60% was achieved from TCE initial concentrations of 8.3-39.5 mg/L at an air-to-water ratio of 30:1 (Heas et al., 1981). In another full plant-scale (6.0 MGD) multiple tray meration column study, TCE removal of 52% was achieved from 150 mg/L (Bess et al., 1981). A full plant-scale packed tower agration column removed 97-99% of TCB from 1,500-2,000 mg/L contaminated groundwater at air-to-water ratio of 25:1 (ESB, 1985).
- \* Boiling also is effective in eliminating TCE from water on a short-term, emergency basis. Studies have shown 5 minutes of vigorous boiling will remove 95% of TCE originally present (Love and Rilers, 1982).
- Air stripping is an effective, simple and relatively inexpensive process for removing TCE and other volatile organics from water. However, use of this process then transfers the contaminant directly to the mir stream. When considering use of air stripping as a treatment process, It is suggested that careful consideration be given to the overall environmental occurrence, fate, route of exposure and various other hazards associated with the chemical.

#### IX. REPERENCES

- ACGIR. 1984. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. Documentation of the threshold limit values. 4th ed. 1980-1984 Supplement. pp. 406-408.
- Amoore, J.E., and E. Hautala. 1983. Odor as an aid to chemical safety: Odor thresholds compared with threshold limit values and volatilities for 214 industrial chamicals in air and water dilution. J. Appl. Tox. 3:272+290.
- deKant, W. Metzderm and D. Henschler. 1984. Novel metabolites of trichloroethylene through dechlorination reactions in rats, mice and humans. Biochem. Pharmacol. 33:2021-2027.
- Dobbs, R.A., and J.M. Cohen. 1980. Carbon adsorption isotherms for toxic organics. EPA 600/8-80-023, Office of Research and Development, MERL, Wastewater Treatment Division, Cincinnati, Chio.
- ESE. 1985. Environmental Science and Engineering. Draft technologies and costs for the removal of volatile organic chemicals from potable water supplies. ESE No. 84-912-0300 pregared for U.S. EPA, Science and Technology Branch, CSD, ODW, Washington, D.C.
- Fukuda, K., K. Takenoto and H. Tsuruta. 1983. Inhalation carcinogenicity of trichloroethylene in mice and rats. Ind. Health. 21:243-254.
- Greim, H., D. Bimboes, G. Sgert, W. Giggelmann and M. Kramer. 1977. Mutagenicity and chromosomal aberrations as an analytical tool for in vitro detection of mammalian enzyme-mediated formation of reactive metabolites. Arch. Toxicol. 39:159.
- Graim, H., G. Bonse, Z. Radwan, D. Reichert and D. Senschler. 1975. Mutagenicity in vitro and potential carcinogenicity of chlorinated ethylenes as a function of netabolic extrane formation. Bicches. Pharmacol. 24:2013.
- Henschler, D., W. Romen, H.M. Elsasser, D. Reichert, E. Eler and Z. Radwan. 1980. Carcinogenicity study of trichlorosthylene by long-term inhalation in the animal species. Arch. Toxicol. 43:237-248.
- Hanachler, D., H. Elsasser, W. Romen and E. Bier. 1984. Carcinogenicity study of trichlorouthylene, with and without epoxide stabilizers, in mice. J. Cancer Rss. Clin. Oncol. 104:149-156.
- Hess, A.F., J.E. Dyksen and G.C. Cline. 1981. Case study involving removal of organic chemical compounds from ground water. Presented at Annual American Water Works Association Conference, St. Louis, Missouri.
- IARC. 1982. IARC nonographs on the evaluation of the carcinogenic risk of chemicals to humans. Supplement 4, Lyon, France.

**CLW** 

- Kavanaugh, M.C., and R.R. Trussell. 1980. Design of aeration towars to strip volatile contaminants from drinking water. JAWNA. December.
- Kinmerle, G., and A. Eben. 1973. Metabolism, excretion and toxicology of trichloroethylene after inhalation. 1. Experimental exposure on rate. Arch. Toxicol. 30:115.
- Lachnit, V. 1977. Halogenated hydrocarbons and the liver. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr. 83[41]:734.
- Love, O.T., Jr., and R.G. Ellers. 1982. Treatment of drinking water containing  $\stackrel{\square}{\hookrightarrow}$ trichloroethylene and related industrial solvents. JAWWA. August.
- HAS. 1980. National Academy of Sciences. Drinking Water and Health. Volume 3. National Academy Press. Washington, DC.
- NCI. 1976. National Cancer Institute. Carcinogenesis bioassay of trichloroethylene. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, CAS No. 79-01-6, February.
- MIOSH. 1980. Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances. U.S. Department of Health and Busan Services. DEPS (NICSH) 81-116.
- MTP. 1982. Mational Toxicology Program. Carcinogenesis bioassay for trichloroethylene. CAS # 79-01-6. No. 82-1799. (Draft).
- Parsons, F., P.R. Wood and J. DeMarco. 1984. Transformation of tetrachlorostheme and trichlorostheme in microcosms and groundwater. JANYA, 26(2):58f.
- Soucek, B., and D. Vlachova. 1959. Metabolitas of trichlorosthylene excreted in the urine by man. Pracoc. Lek. 11:457.
- Stephens, C.A. 1945. Poisoning by accidental drisking of trichloroethylens. Brit. Med. J. 2:218.
- Torkslaun, T.R., and V.K. Rove. 1981. Halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons. In: Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology. 3rd ed. Vol. 2B. John Wiley and Sons, New York. p. 3553.
- U.S. EPA. 1979. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Water Related Environmental Fate of 129 Priority Pollutants, Office of Water Planning and Standards, EPA-440/4-79-029.
- U.S. KPA. 1980. U.S. Phylronmental Protection Agency. Ambient water quality criteria document for trichloroethylens. Office of Water Research and Standards. Cincinnati, Ohio.
- U.S. EPA. 1983. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Trichloroethylene occurrence in drinking water, food, and air. Office of Drinking Water.
- U.S. EPA. 1985a. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The drinking water criteria document on trichloroethylens. Office of Drinking Water.

- U.S. EPA. 1985b. Method 502.1. Volatile Halogenated Organic Compounds in Water by Purge and Trap Gas Chromatography, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Chio 45258.
- U.S. EPA. 1985c. Method 524.1. Volatile Organic Compounds in Water by Purge and Trap Cas Chromatography/Wass Spectrometry, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268.
- U.S. EPA. 1985d. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Mational primary drinking water regulations, Volatile synthetic organic chemicals, final rule and proposed rule. Federal Register 50(219): 46880-46933.
- U.S. BPA. 1986. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Guidelines for carcinogenic risk assessment. Federal Register 51(185):33992-34003. September 24.
- U.S. ITC. 1983. United States International Trade Commission. Synthetic organic chanicals. United States production, USITC Publication 1422. Washington, D.C. 20436.
- van Dauren, B.L., B.M. Goldschmidt, G. Iowengart, A.C. Smith, S. Melchionne, I. Seldman and D. Roth. 1979. Carcinogenicity of halogenated elefinic and aliphatic hydrocarbons in mice. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 63:1433-1439.
- Vogel, T., and P. McCarty. 1985. Rictransformation of tetrachloroethylene to trichlorosthylens, dichlorosthylens, vinyl chloride, and carbon dioxide under methanogenic conditions. Appl. Environm. Microbiol. 49(5).
- WHO. 1981. World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinking water quality. Vol. I. Recommendations. Geneva, Switzerland. pp. 63, 66.
- Windholz, M. 1983. The Merck Index. 10th edition. Merck and Co., Inc. Rahway, NJ. p. 1378.
- Zenick, H., K. Blackburn, E. Hope, H. Richards and M.K. Smith. 1984. Effects of trichloroethylene exposure on male reproductive function in rats. Toxicology. 31:237.